



Transportation Infrastructure Right Sizing

presented to

Transportation Asset and Infrastructure Management (TAIM) Conference 2019 presented by

Cambridge Systematics, Inc.

Brian ten Siethoff, Principal

October 29, 2019

What Is Right Sizing?

A process or set of

procedures, tools and techniques

used to pursue

an optimal level of investment

based on functional requirements



Why Right Sizing?





Right Sizing Can Be Applied Throughout a DOT's Decision Making Structure

Monitor Establish Vision, Performance Goals & Results & Performance Measures Outcomes **Apply Practical** Assess Tradeoffs Design, Prioritize & **Between Modes** Implement and Programs Formulate and Evaluate Policies, Strategies, and Investments







MassDOT's **Planning for Performance** Tool Assists in Capital Investment Program Right Sizing

Select a Plan Year 2024	Performance		, F	Planning			
User sets values in outlined cells	Today	Target (10 year)	Achieves Target in 2024	Massachusetts Department of Transportation	2019-2023 CIP (Published)	2020-2024 CIP	2024 Performance
		Ļ		Compare long-term target and 202	24 performance for	recast	Ţ
Reliability		Target established in Tracker (<i>link</i>)					
AERONATICS AIRPORT PAVEMENT average PCI for airport pavement	68	75	\$19	0 20 40 60 80 100	\$25	\$24	69
AERONAUTICS AIRPORT CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT	N/A	N/A	N/A	**	\$29	\$23	N/A
HIGHWAY BRIDGE % of NHS deck area rated poor	12.9%	10%	\$580	0% 5% 10% 15% 20%	\$456	\$364	13%
HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT	N/A	N/A	N/A	**	\$15	\$19	N/A
HIGHWAY FACILITIES	N/A	N/A	N/A	**	\$36	\$22	N/A
HIGHWAY INTERSTATE PAVEMENT % good or excellent % poor	82% 2%	90%	\$18		\$63	\$61	100% 0%
HIGHWAY MUNICIPAL BRIDGE PROGRAM	N/A	N/A	N/A		\$10	\$8	N/A
HIGHWAY DOT-OWNED NON-INTERSTATE PAVEMENT % good or excellent % poor	62% 13%	70%	\$207	0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%	\$122	\$109	47% 26%
HIGHWAY ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS	N/A	N/A	N/A		\$34	\$17	N/A
HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS	N/A	N/A	N/A	aa	\$53	\$41	N/A
HIGHWAY TUNNELS	N/A	N/A	N/A	**	\$79	\$101	N/A
IT ASSET MANAGEMENT	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	\$3	\$0	N/A
IT CYBER/INFORMATION SECURITY	N/A	N/A	N/A		\$3	\$9	N/A
IT DESKTOP EXPERIENCE	N/A	N/A	N/A	***	\$2	\$0	N/A
IT DIGITAL INFRASTRUCTURE	N/A	N/A	N/A		\$4	\$2	N/A

CAMBRIDGE SYSTEMATICS

Genesee Transportation Council Identified Candidates for Bridge Decommissioning Using Network Scale Analysis





Many Agencies Use Performance-Based Practical Design to Right-Size Projects

- Principle: Overinvestment in one location effectively removes resources and availability for other potential projects and reduces overall system performance now, and in the long term
- Practice: Establish Project Purpose and Need, consider project and system goals (short-term and long-term), apply practical engineering and design approaches to optimize projected outcomes relative to goals and targets
 - » Consider non-capital solutions
 - » Use life cycle costs analysis (LCCA)
 - » Reconsider assumption that a single asset must either be repaired as-is or replaced, reconsider timing, and look at the corridor/system



What Challenges Do We Face?

- Enabling input from stakeholders, and communication to them
- Developing processes that are:
 - » Straightforward and usable by state transportation agencies
 - » Applicable, replicable, and consistent across these agencies
- Allocating resources across transportation modes, programs, and asset classes, and geographically
- Improving project formulation and optimizing projects and strategies systemically, at a corridor scale, and for specific locations
- Diagnosing and correcting systemic defects (such as in design standards)
- Properly aligning outcomes with overall agency goals through active performance management



How Can Right-Sizing Address Risk?

- Some areas of the country have more transportation capacity than needed, and not enough resources to maintain
 - » Bridge and highway decommissioning becoming more politically acceptable
- Lessons learned being integrated into planning resources and design manuals to inform project formulation and design
- There is uncertainty regarding implications of shared, electric, automated and connected vehicles on travel demand
 - » Infrastructure requirements
 - » Repurposing existing infrastructure
 - » Economics (user costs and benefits)



NHDOT Has Investigated its Risks and Approaches to Manage/Reduce Them



ASSET MANAGEMENT SOLUTION:

High-strength pavement used in acceleration/deceleration lanes with high freight traffic



Caltrans Has Begun to Assess Projects Based on Projected Impacts on Performance Goals

Crash reduction benefits from pavement management treatments:

04-Alameda-Var	In Alameda County, on Routes 80, 84, and 880	1J780	R/W:	\$10	PA&ED:	\$1,118	18-19	PA&ED:	10/1/2019
	at various locations; also in Contra Costa	2020-21	Const:	\$6,311	PS&E:	\$1,274	19-20	R/W Cert:	3/1/2021
1481B	County on Routes 24 and 680 at various				R/W Sup:	\$104	19-20	RTL:	6/1/2021
0414000357	locations. Install High Friction Surface				Con Sup:	\$1,534	21-22	Begin Con:	2/1/2022
	Treatment (HFST) at spot locations to enhance								
	wet pavement conditions.		Subtotal:	\$6,321		\$4,030			
			Total Proje	ct Cost:		\$10,351			

Program Code	201.015	Collision Severity F	Reduction
Performance Measure	e 24	Collision(s) reduced	1

CAMBRIDGE SYSTEMATICS

New

Some States Are Integrating Risk with Asset OR Performance Management



Agency requirements for successful integration:

- Staff training, organization, and culture (people)
- Procedures and documentation (processes)
- Data and tools supporting integration (technologies)



Key Takeaways from NCHRP 08-113

Personnel & Skills:

- » Multi-disciplinary staff and cross-silo cooperation
- » Modern technology, data, statistical systems and practices
- » Knowledge transfer between consultants and agency staff
- Policy & Agency Structure:
 - » Integration champion
 - » Modified organizational structure and documentation to support integration
- Resource Requirements:
 - » Combined budgets for integrated management areas
 - » Flexible program planning to account for funding variability



Key Takeaways from NCHRP 08-113

Data Needs:

- » Institutionalized data governance
- » Visualization and interactive dashboards to empower leadership to engage with technical staff
- Intentional acquisition, management of high quality asset, financial data
- » Continual improvement of data, sophisticated modeling to account for missing data and uncertainty



THANK YOU

